UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,693	03/30/2006	Choon K. Oh	PU60365	2051
20462 7590 09/14/2009 SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 P. O. BOX 1539			EXAMINER	
			KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S	
KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1612	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US\_cipkop@gsk.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/560,693	OH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D	1612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>i</i> —					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
dissect in assertations with the practice and in	x parte quayre, 1000 0.D. 11, 10	0 0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   A)   Interview Summary (PTO-413)					

Application/Control Number: 10/560,693 Page 2

Art Unit: 1612

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims included in the prosecution are 1-8.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slater et al (US 6,355,268) in view of Crowe et al (US 4,857, 319) and Cherian (US 6,548,071) (all are of record).

Slater et al teach liposomal compositions containing Topotecan. The liposomes contain sucrose and cholesterol. The amount incorporated is 99.9 % and the diameters of liposomes are 94.5 nm (abstract, Examples and claims). What is lacking in SLATER et al is the lyophilization of the liposomes to form a powder, which forms liposomes again with subsequent addition of an aqueous medium.

Crowe et al teach that the liposomes can be preserved by freeze-drying in the presence of a sugar such as sucrose (0.1:1 to 4:1, sucrose-lipid). According to Crowe et al, when the lyophilizates are reconstituted by rehydration, the resultant liposomes retain up to 100 % of the originally encapsulated contents (abstract and Example 7).

Cherian teaches that the stability of camptothecin derivatives is enhanced when

lyophilized together with phospholipids. The powder upon rehydration forms colloidal dispersion, which exhibits anti-tumor activity (col. 3, line 5 through col. 7, line 12 and claims).

To lyophilize the liposomal compositions containing the camptothecin derivative, Topotecan taught by Slater et al would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since Crowe et al teaches that when liposomes are lyophilized together with cryoprotectants such as sucrose retain up to 100 % of the originally encapsulated contents and since Cherian teaches enhancement of stability of camptothecin derivatives when lyophilized together with phospholipids.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D whose telephone number is (571) 272-0598. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM- 4 PM, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Krass Frederick can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,693 Page 4

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gollamudi S Kishore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612

**GSK**